## Pecyn Dogfennau Cyhoeddus

## Aelod Portffolio ar faterion Tai a Gwasanaethau Cefn Gwlad

Man Cyfarfod

Dyddiad y Cyfarfod

Dydd Iau, 18 Ionawr 2018

Amser y Cyfarfod **Heb nodi'r amser** 

I gael rhagor o wybodaeth cysylltwch â **Stephen Boyd** 01597 826374 steve.boyd@powys.gov.uk



Neuadd Y Sir Llandrindod Powys LD1 5LG

15/01/18

#### **AGENDA**

1. PRYNU TIR GER MYNWENT LLANANDRAS I GREU ESTYNIAD I'R FYNWENT.

(Tudalennau 1 - 10)



#### CYNGOR SIR POWYS COUNTY COUNCIL

# PORTFOLIO HOLDER DELEGATED DECISION by

# COUNTY COUNCILLOR PHYL DAVIES ( PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR PROPERTY AND WASTE) AND COUNTY COUNCILLOR JONATHAN WILKINSON (PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR HOUSING AND COUNTRYSIDE SERVICES) JANUARY 2018

REPORT AUTHOR: Professional Lead-Environmental Health (Environmental

Protection)

SUBJECT: Purchase of Land Adjacent to Presteigne Cemetery for

development of a cemetery extension

REPORT FOR: Decision

#### 1. Summary

- 1.1 Powys County Council manages a total of 19 cemeteries located across the County.
- 1.2 A recent review of cemeteries confirmed that the existing cemetery at Presteigne has 7 year's capacity remaining.
- 1.3 In light of these findings a Cemeteries Review and Development Project Board (CRDPB) was set up partly to review future burial provision. As part of the project a further review was commissioned and undertaken by The Institute of Cemeteries and Crematorium Management (ICCM) which concluded that there was an urgent need to address diminishing capacity.
- 1.4 Previously, Presteigne and Norton Town Council (PNTC) had requested that Powys County Council (PCC) develop an extension to the Cemetery. However at that time, PNTC were advised that PCC would not invest in additional burial space. Consequently PNTC went ahead and purchased additional land adjacent to the cemetery with a view to them running the site as a burial authority in their own right. PNTC have now indicated that they would prefer that PCC take over the land and develop the cemetery extension themselves.
- 1.5 The purpose of this report is to approve the purchase of the land in question from PNTC and develop a cemetery extension on the land.

#### 2. Proposal

- 2.1 To purchase the land edged red on the plan in Appendix 1 which comprises some 0.4 acres from PNTC for the £47,045.84 which includes certain disbursements costs.
- 2.2 Negotiations have been undertaken by Property Services who confirm that the terms are appropriate.
- 2.9 PNTC has obtained planning permission for the land to develop as a cemetery. There is no requirement to undertake groundwater testing on the site which would represent a significant saving on development costs. However the site would require infrastructure such as roads and pathways and these works are estimated to be in the region of £120,000.
- 2.10 Based on current demand, the area will provide 898 grave spaces which will provide burial space for 112 years. The average annual number of new burials that take place is 8 which based on current burial fees will realise approximately £15,000 per annum. In addition, PCC currently receives a grant from Pryce Williams Trust to manage the cemetery which equates to approximately £8,000 per annum. The grant is payable to the organisation that manages the cemetery.
- 2.11 In summary, if PCC were to proceed with the purchase of the land and subsequently develop the extension the payback on a £170,000 investment is estimated to be 21 years. A business case is attached in Appendix 2.

#### 3. Options Considered / Available

The options available are as follows:

- 3.1. To purchase the land from PNTC for £47,045.84 and develop the cemetery extension at an estimated total cost of £170,000. This would secure burial provision in the area and income for the service to support the maintenance of the Presteigne cemetery and the other 18 cemeteries. The payback period for the investment is estimated to be 21 years.
- 3.2 Not to purchase the land and allow PNTC to develop the cemetery extension as a burial authority. This would mean that the income from the cemetery would reduce by approximately £15,000 per annum in 7 years' time. Additionally it is likely that the grant from the Pryce Williams Trust would reduce or cease as PNTC could be considered the organisation responsible for the management of the cemetery. However PCC would still have to bear the cost of maintaining the

existing cemetery. The current cost of maintain the existing cemetery is approximately £7000 but is set to increase to £14000 in 2018/19 due to an increase in the grounds maintenance contract. However this option would mean that the Council would not incur the capital cost of buying (£47k) and developing the land (£120k) and the associated revenue implications and the capital could be used on other projects.

#### 4. Preferred Choice and Reasons

4.1 The preferred option is for PCC to purchase the land and develop a cemetery extension. This would ensure future burial provision in the area and lessen any additional demand on other Council owned cemeteries nearby. The proposal would secure income for the service in the future to support the maintenance of all Council owned cemeteries. The site would need to be developed prior to the expiry of the planning consent in November 2018.

#### 5. Impact Assessment

- 5.1 Is an impact assessment required? No
- 5.2 If yes is it attached? N/A

#### 6. Corporate Improvement Plan

6.1 The decision supports the Vision 2025 priority for Residents and Communities.

#### 7. <u>Local Member(s)</u>

7.1 As County Councillor for the Presteigne ward I would like to support Powys County Council in purchasing a piece of land adjacent to the existing cemetery to extend the current burial provision. I believe the cost of the land is reasonable and will easily be recouped.

#### 8. Other Front Line Services

Does the recommendation impact on other services run by the Council or on behalf of the Council?

If so please provide their comments

#### 9. Communications

Have Communications seen a copy of this report? Yes

Have they made a comment? Communications Comment: The report is of public interest and requires use of proactive news release and appropriate social media to publicise the decision.

# 10. <u>Support Services (Legal, Finance, Corporate Property, HR, ICT, Business Services)</u>

- 10.1 Legal- The Professional Lead –Legal supports the recommendation in this report which in the long run will save money and the legal services confirms that they will assist in the legal formalities as and when required.
- 10.2 Finance- The Finance Manager Place and Resources notes the contents of the report, the funding required to purchase the land and complete the works has been submitted as a capital bid which is yet to be approved.
- 10.3 Corporate Property-The Professional Lead-Strategic Property supports the proposal.

#### 11. Scrutiny

Has this report been scrutinised?

If Yes what version or date of report has been scrutinised? Please insert the comments.

What changes have been made since the date of Scrutiny and explain why Scrutiny recommendations have been accepted or rejected?

No

#### 12. Statutory Officers

- 12.1 The Solicitor to the Council (Monitoring Officer) has commented as follows: "I note the legal comment and have nothing to add to the report".
- 12.2 The Head if Financial Services (Acting 151 Officer) notes the comments by the Finance Manager, this proposal can only be progressed if the funding is approved within the budget proposals.

#### 13. Members' Interests

13.1 The Monitoring Officer is not aware of any specific interests that may arise in relation to this report. If the Portfolio Holder has an interest he/she should declare it, complete the relevant notification form and refer the matter to the cabinet for decision.

#### 14. Future Status of the Report

14.1 Members are invited to consider the future status of this report and whether it can be made available to the press and public either immediately following the meeting or at some specified point in the future.

The view of the Monitoring Officer is that:

Recommendation:	Reason for Recommendation:
To purchase the land from PNTC for £47,045.84 and develop the cemetery extension at an estimated total cost of £170,000.	To secure burial provision in the area and income for the service for approximately 100 years.
2170,000.	

Relevant Policy (ie	s): Corporate	Corporate Asset Policy	
Within Policy:	Υ	Within Budget:	Υ

Relevant Local Member(s):   Clir Beverley Baynham
---------------------------------------------------

Person(s) To Implement Decision:	Nia Wyn	Hughes	
Date By When Decision To Be Implemented:		As soon as	possible


Contact Officer: Nia Wyn Hughes Tel: 01938551299

Email: niah@powys.gov.uk

#### **Background Papers used to prepare Report:**

**DELEGATED DECISION REPORT TEMPLATE VERSION 5** 







## **Presteigne Cemetery**

Printed by: Edwardp1 Date: Scale 1:2500





# <u>Title: Purchase of land adjacent to Presteigne cemetery for the development of an extension to the existing cemetery</u>

Service Area:	Environmental Health-	Date:	10 <sup>th</sup> November 2017	
	Environmental Protection	Prepared by:	Nia Wyn Hughes	
Requirement	Presteigne and Norton Town Council (PNTC) have purchased land adjacent to the existing cemetery in order to develop an extension to the existing cemetery which has only 7 years capacity remaining. PNTC have taken this action having been advised previously that Powys County Council (PCC) would not invest in any additional burial sites. The recently established Cemeteries Review and Development Board (CRDB) is currently reviewing its policy with respect to investing in additional burial space and Presteigne is one of 3 sites currently under consideration.  PNTC have suggested that it would be willing to sell the land to PCC (provided all costs associated with the sale are covered) in order for it to operate the extension as well as the existing cemetery as the relevant burial authority.  PNTC are requesting a total of £47,045.84 for the sale of the land.			
Background	PCC currently manages 19 cemeteries burial authority. A recent review of considerable disparity in the amount At some there is over 100 years capa Presteigne and Machynlleth, there is recently established CRDB is currently PNTC has taken steps to address the have bought adjacent land with a viccircumstance, they would manage the existing site unless PNTC was minded Community Asset transfer scheme. Twould have to continue to maintain a generation due to the limited number eventually fill and then be "closed" would still be responsible for the cosp PNTC have bought the land having be invest in additional burial ground. Rethey would be willing to sell the land cemetery extension.  PNTC are requesting £47,045.84 for permission which expires in November groundwater testing which represent However the site would need infrast fencing/hedges which would require estimated to be in the region of £120 In terms of additional maintenance of based on the current contract would include hedge cutting etc. which can The site measures 4000m2. Based on allowing 25% of the space for roads a land will provide 898 grave spaces. Be annum, the land will provide burial stand will provide burial stand headstone) is £1740.55 an over the last 5 years of 3 singles and £14,734.40.  In addition, Powys CC currently receit the maintenance of the central process.	cemetery capacities in of space available wincity while at others in less than 10 years caped diminishing capacity who becoming a burile new site whilst PCC in take over the exist he implications for PC in the site with little scape of graves remaining while receiving no incited its long term makes of its long term makes a significant saving ructure such as roads, planning approval. The costs, the additional costs and paths it is estimated as a double is £1902.55 doubles, the projectives a grant from Prycesives a	Illustrated that there is thin these cemeteries. amely Hay on Wye, pacity remaining. The provision at these sites at the cemetery and all authority. In this would operate the sting site as part of the ICC would be that it ope for income at that PCC would not ICC have indicated that evelop and operate the which has planning equirement for in development costs. paths and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass and mese costs are sost of cutting the grass are sost of cutting the gras	



## Gwasanaethau effeithiol ar gyfer calon werdd Cymru Efficient services for the green heart of Wales

	T		
Solutions	<ul> <li>There are 2 solutions to the problem of diminishing capacity at Presteigne cemetery:</li> <li>1. That PCC do not purchase the land from PNTC and that they manage the site as a burial authority. In doing so they would be responsible for managing the cemetery extension and receive all the fees for burials in that area. This would leave PCC to manage the existing cemetery which has very few plots remaining therefore limiting future income. Additionally it is likely that income from Pryce Williams Trust would reduce or cease</li> <li>2. PCC could purchase the additional land to develop an extension. This would secure future burial provision in the area and additional income for over 100 years.</li> </ul>		
Preferred Solution	The preferred option is Option 2, to purchase the land from PNTC and develop the extension securing future burial provision in the area. The cost of the project is likely to be in the region of £170k. Additional ongoing maintenance costs would be in the region of £2k. The projected annual income based on current rates (inc. grant from Pryce Williams Trust) is in the region of £23,000. Planning approval has been secured without the requirement to undertake groundwater testing. It is not known whether soil testing has been carried out to ensure that the ground is suitable although it is located immediately adjacent to the existing site where there are no known problems. Given that planning permission has been granted, the timetable for development would be dictated solely by the infrastructure works which it is envisaged could be completed within 12 months. This would mean that additional burial space would be available before the current site reaches capacity.		
Cost/Benefit Analysis	Based on the projected income annual of £14,734.40 less the grave digging costs of £4,803.02 and the additional maintenance expenditure of £2,000 the payback		
Recommendation	period of the £170,000 capital purchase cost would be 21 years.  It is recommended that the land is purchased from PNTC for the development of a cemetery extension. Planning permission has already been secured and the only additional costs would be the development of site infrastructure.  The additional land would generate income beyond the 7 years that the existing site will provide. This income will also help support the maintenance of other cemeteries which have lower demand.		
	Proposed by (Requisitioner)	Authorised by (Service Head)	
	Nia Wyn Hughes	Date:	
Signatures	Date:10 <sup>th</sup> November 2017		
	Commercial Services Team		
	Date:		